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A summary of action items is included in Attachment A.

The meeting commenced at 10:30 a.m.
**Agenda Item 1**  
**Welcome, Introductions and Apologies**

Ms Anne Horn welcomed all present to the meeting. Apologies were received from Mr Chris Taylor, Executive Manager, Library Resources and Technology Services, University of Queensland.

Ms Horn noted that Dr Warwick Cathro was currently Acting Director General; Ms Debbie Campbell was Acting Assistant Director General, Resource Sharing and Innovation and Ms Laurel Paton was Acting Director, Collaborative Services. Dr Warwick Cathro joined the meeting after attending a Senate Estimates Committee hearing.

Ms Horn advised that Ms Karen Hansen, Section Manager, Library Services, Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs would be leaving the Committee and thanked her for her contribution.

Ms Horn paid tribute to retiring Director-General Ms Jan Fullerton and acknowledged her significant contribution to the National Library and the profession.

**Agenda Item 2**  
**Draft Minutes of the Previous Meeting and Business Arising**  
**LAAC/2010/2/1**

The committee resolved to accept the minutes of the previous Committee meeting.

Ms Campbell and Mr Rob Walls reported on the actions arising from the previous Committee meeting.

**Agenda Item 2**

1. Ms Campbell confirmed that the subscription model for university sector was reviewed with assistance from Ms Horn as LAAC chairperson. The subscription model for state and territory libraries and public libraries will be reviewed in early 2011.

2. Ms Campbell noted that the best approach with regards to data contribution is to liaise with different contributors to give specific advice. If contributors are already members of Libraries Australia, this would be the preferred method of data contribution. Other contribution pathways were noted and Ms Campbell advised that advice the contribution pathways may change over time as more become available.

3. Mr Walls reported that 806 Libraries Australia members have some holdings on the Australian National Bibliographic Database (ANBD) and 400 have none. 540 libraries have updated or added to their holdings on the ANBD in the last 12 months. Libraries not maintaining holdings will be contacted. Mr Elliott confirmed that this is an issue for WorldCat membership as well. Ms Campbell added that additional information will be provided in the Libraries Australia User Agreement about this and the benefits of WorldCat membership.

**Agenda Item 5**

The Resource Sharing & Innovation Division’s Strategic Plan was made available to Committee members for comment in July.
A paper on the progress of the ARDC Party Infrastructure Project is to be tabled at today’s meeting.

Ms Rose Holley will speak about web 2.0 research at the annual Libraries Australia Forum 2010.

**Agenda Item 7**
Libraries Australia has considered the matter of TAFE consortia subscriptions further and has decided to continue with the original proposal.

**Agenda Item 10**
With regard to establishing interoperability between WorldCat Resource Sharing and Libraries Australia Document Delivery, there has been a further development which will be discussed under Other Business.

**Agenda Item 11**
Ms Campbell advised that the Trove marketing plan is not ready yet – another area of the NLA will complete it in conjunction with the NSLA Marketing Working Group. The plan will be finalised by December and distributed then.

Following a discussion with Dr Cathro at the last Committee meeting regarding the low visibility of special library collections, Ms Ritchie and Ms Hansen commenced a project to address the issue. They analysed the results of the ANBD coverage survey done in 2008/9 which asked why libraries were not participating fully in putting collections on the ANBD. The reasons given were the nature of the collections concerned, issues of local resourcing and an assumption that the materials would have to be available for loan. Ms Ritchie will give a presentation on the findings at the Libraries Australia Forum.

Project work will continue, and two national studies which are underway will assist with this. Ms Campbell advised she will be speaking at the next ALIES Conference (Australasian Libraries in the Emergency Sector) in April 2011. Ms Hansen added that a paper including suggestions and recommendations will be produced at the end of the project.

The request for comparative search statistics of Libraries Australia and Trove has been satisfied by their inclusion in the Libraries Australia Annual Report 2009/10.

**Agenda Item 3**
**Libraries Australia Annual Report 2009/2010**
**LAAC/2010/2/2**

Ms Campbell noted the steady growth in the service. Some blog posts from last year’s Forum and the different ways Libraries Australia uses to keep in touch with members are detailed in the report. A small number of cancellations has been offset by some growth in membership. The statistics for use of the various databases provided through Libraries Australia show that the ANBD remains the most heavily used.

Mr Walls advised that NBD growth exceeded one million bibliographic records and one million holdings. The net growth in holdings is lower than last year which reflects the holdings updates which have occurred. The addition of OCLC identifiers to the ANBD has been completed, realising two benefits: more deep linking to local library systems and improved record matching.
Mr Walls expanded on the duplicate detection work which has been undertaken so far by configuring the software to run using ISBN numbers as match keys, removing 634,000 records from the ANBD. It will next be done using OCLC identifiers and then using author/title keys. Ms Horn commented that the duplicate detection work should be celebrated.

Mr Walls talked about the current work to develop a batch service for uploading authority data. Libraries Australia is working with OCLC to automate this process.

The work done this year in global holdings update was noted by Mr Walls, whereby around two million holdings have been updated for 80 libraries. There was some discussion about statistical fluctuations in search activity and various reasons were given for this such as changes in workflows, e-records and specific projects.

Ms Horn noted the opportunity for improving the linkages between schools and universities and the opportunity for university libraries to publicise Trove more fully, for which marketing assistance would be very helpful. Ms Campbell advised that a letter had been sent to all schools about Trove, but more materials could be made available.

The Committee noted the report.

Agenda Item 4
Libraries Australia Statistics
LAAC/2010/2/3

Ms Campbell explained that the difference between expenses and revenue represented the National Library’s subsidy of Libraries Australia. There was some discussion about this and Ms Campbell assured the Committee that the level of subsidy will stay as it is for now. The slightly lower revenue can be explained by the switch in subscription models. She also noted the effect of the University of Queensland holdings refresh on the statistics.

The Committee noted the report.

Agenda Item 5
Libraries Australia Activity Report July – October 2010
LAAC/2010/2/4

Mr Walls added to what had been discussed in the Annual Report by mentioning the recent completion of the Library of Congress Table of Contents (TOC) data project. TOC data has been added to 240,000 records. TOC data is now harvested regularly.

There has been follow up on the 2008/09 ANBD coverage survey. Several state and university libraries have been visited by Libraries Australia staff and this has resulted in additional contributions to the ANBD, of note a contribution of 40,000 records from Murdoch University. It is important to communicate the minimum record standard, as a modest level of cataloguing should not be seen as a disincentive to contribution. The next focus for addition will be on records of large microform collections.

The recent CBS upgrade included additional support for SRU Record Update. An OCLC project is underway to transmit higher level record enhancement data from WorldCat to Libraries Australia as a way of adding further value. SRU Record Update will be used as an
alternative to the Record Import Service for loading data from Australian libraries in future.

Mr Walls commented on the work being done by OCLC with regard to the WorldCat Synchronization Gateway and the implications of this for Australian libraries.

Currently 12 libraries have agreed to participate in a project which is an attempt to develop a service to keep holdings up to date. Libraries with less than 200,000 holdings were contacted and asked to send their holdings via RIS as a possible way of working out holdings which are no longer current.

Ms Horn commented on the value of deep linking and suggested it could be useful in testimonials to gather feedback on this. Ms Paton advised that 211 libraries are now deep linked and acknowledged the positive feedback already received.

Mr Walls advised that work is being done to develop a program which automatically links bibliographic records with related authority records. Work is also being done to enhance the quality of authority records in Libraries Australia by using data coming from the Virtual International Authority File (VIAF). Work is also being done with OCLC to add detailed holdings statements for serials to WorldCat.

Mr Walls advised that Libraries Australia is moving closer to an agreement with the British Library Document Supply Centre with regard to interoperating with Libraries Australia Document Delivery (LADD).

Ms Paton drew attention to the statistical snapshots which are now available to any library which requests them. Libraries can also access a snapshot of their search activity at www.nla.gov.au/librariesaustralia/statistics/index.html.

Ms Paton noted that over 230 delegates were registered for the Libraries Australia Forum. New promotional items were to be distributed – a USB flash drive, and an eco-bag.

To assess the longterm effect of the roadshow held in 2009, 25 libraries were contacted and seven agreed to be interviewed. They gave very positive feedback, although 50% said that their workflows hadn’t changed. The difficulty of engaging CEOs and senior managers in roadshows and the Forum was discussed.

Ms Burke suggested attaching a meeting a scheduled CAUL meeting as a way of engaging with senior managers. Ms Campbell advised that she would be giving a presentation at the NSLA CEOs meeting in November. It was agreed that a meeting attached to CAUL would need to be strategic, well structured and high level and could include other sectors apart from CAUL members. The meeting should dispel myths, look to the future and examine the relationship between Libraries Australia and OCLC. Ms Campbell noted that the LAAC papers are made public, but this was thought to be too retrospective. The Committee expressed its interest in assisting with communicating with the Libraries Australia community.

The Committee noted the report.

**Agenda Item 6**

**Report from the National Library (oral)**

Dr Cathro advised that the National Library’s new minister is Mr Simon Crean, and the National Library is now part of the Prime Minister and Cabinet portfolio and the Deputy
Secretary is Mr Richard Eccles. The new chair of the National Library Council is the Honourable New South Wales Chief Justice James Spigelman. He has taken a strong interest in the National Library’s digitisation program and digital legal deposit issues.

There have been several farewells for Ms Jan Fullerton who officially retires on 9 November. The selection process for the next Director General is underway.

Dr Cathro attended the OCLC Asia Pacific Council meeting in Tokyo on 6-7 September. He gave a presentation about Trove and public engagement and visited the National Diet Library, noting in particular their digitisation program.

Dr Cathro gave an update on a meeting of the House of Representatives Standing Committee on Education & Training Inquiry into School Libraries and Teacher Librarians in Australian Schools at which he and Ms Roxanne Missingham discussed Electronic Resources Australia (ERA). This Committee lapsed when the election was called in July and it is not certain whether it will be re-instated.

Tenders have been called for the Treasures Gallery project now that the design phase is complete. It should open in late 2011.

Dr Cathro advised that the NLA has been assessing what to do with regard to the failure of the Digital Deluge policy proposal. A plan to meet essential minimum requirements for digitization and for replacing software needs to be developed. Different prototypes and approaches in this area are being examined.

Ms Gatenby advised that the Australian Women’s Weekly digitisation will be completed by the end of 2010, and content will be made available through the newspapers zone of Trove. A major national launch is being planned in conjunction with Australian Consolidated Press and Ms Deborah Thomas. The first phase of the Newspaper Digitisation Project will be completed by June 2011 and will include 93 titles covering all the major capital city dailies. Contributor guidelines are being distributed to public libraries. The preferred model is for the National Library to digitize for others with the costs paid for by the contributing library.

The National Library is collaborating with other National Libraries to develop a methodology for costing cataloguing as a basis for comparison. Costs seem to be very similar so far. The time cataloguers are spending on other activities, not just cataloguing, is a commonality. The results of this project will be made available when complete.

Ms Gatenby gave an update on Resource Description & Access (RDA) which was released in June 2010. The online toolkit is now available for subscription. The National Library will jointly implement when the Library of Congress, British Library and Library and Archives Canada do, which is unlikely before early 2012. The National Library is working on developing training materials and a network of trainers who will be trained in 2011.

The Committee noted the report.

Agenda Item 7
Resource Sharing and Innovation Division Strategic Plan
LAAC/2010/2/5

Ms Campbell thanked the Committee for comments which were integrated into the draft Strategic Plan July 2010 – June 2012. The next six monthly review will occur in January 2011.
Ms Campbell advised the Committee of modifications to update the environmental scan and further highlight the value proposition offered by WorldCat.

Ms Horn asked how Committee members can assist to extend and maintain partnerships with member libraries. Ms Campbell discussed some of the outreach activities which currently take place and added that she would welcome hearing more from Committee members about topical issues. Dr Cathro noted two issues Libraries Australia would like to distribute information about: making more timely contributions to the ANBD using SRU Record Update, and exposing hidden collections with collection level entries in the ANBD for indexing in Trove.

Ms Gatenby commented that while the indexing of full-text lists is very useful and beneficial for Trove, there are some major disadvantages for libraries contributing brief collection records, so it should be considered with that in mind. Libraries must read the guidelines first to confirm their capability and seek assistance if required.

Ms Gatenby asked if Libraries Australia still saw its role as purchasing further datasets and Mr Walls advised that there is no available money to purchase content above what is already being purchased. Mr Walls also commented that it is difficult to establish how useful particular datasets are. Ms Horn raised the issue of creating records for e-books and there was discussion about how Libraries Australia may be able to assist with this.

Ms Nelson requested further information about how the subscription model for state territory and public libraries may change. Ms Campbell advised that it is based on population data, so the original formula will be updated with the most recent ABS figures.

In response to Ms Szunejko’s question about the development of local views of Trove, Ms Campbell advised that in conjunction with Reimagining Libraries’ Project 2, the Trove team has been canvassing interest to establish what would be required in an Application Programming Interface (API) and is currently analysing the results of a survey. The API development work should be finished within 12 months.

Dr Cathro advised that Libraries Australia has renewed the agreement with OCLC for another four years and commented that only very slight changes were made.

Ms Ritchie sought clarification about whether special libraries would be included in the follow up to the ANBD coverage survey. Mr Walls confirmed that managers of special collections which have been identified across the sectors will be contacted; some of which are from special libraries.

Mr Strempel commented that Electronic Resources Australia (ERA) is not only of benefit to small libraries. Ms Horn confirmed that the ERA submission to the House of Representatives Inquiry into School Libraries and Teacher Librarians in Australian Schools is very relevant and it is to be hoped that it is reconstituted because of the focus on pathways to university. Dr Cathro confirmed that there will be a continuation of lobbying relevant government agencies with regard to funding national licences to e-resources.

Ms Campbell reported that Trove development is on schedule. There was discussion about a shift in the type of feedback being received. Users are becoming more accustomed to the service and awareness of the service is growing. Trove is now being used for research purposes.
The Committee noted the report.

ACTION: LAAC members to contact Ms Campbell with suggestions about the topical issues to discuss when making visits to university and state libraries; to generate more interest in Libraries Australia contact; to map state and sectoral connections, and to seek invitations for Libraries Australia staff to attend sectoral meetings.

ACTION: Ms Gatenby’s suggested wording changes with regard to the Reimagining Libraries Project 8 (Description and Cataloguing) to be incorporated into the Strategic Plan when it is reviewed.

**Agenda Item 8**
**Report from the OCLC Asia Pacific Regional Council**
LAAC/2010/2/6

Mr Elliott reported on the Asia Pacific Regional Council meeting held at the National Library in Canberra on 15 April 2010. There were 60 delegates. The meeting was well received and there was enthusiasm to have the meeting in Canberra again, possibly in 2012.

The Global Council meeting was held in Dublin, Ohio 19 – 22 April, 2010. There were presentations from the Regional Councils, a report from Mr Jay Jordan, OCLC CEO and from the Chair of the Board of Trustees. Other presentations covered the OCLC value proposition, an update on OCLC research, a look at the impact of mass digitization and an extended discussion on the Record Use Policy.

A virtual meeting of the Global Council was held on 21 June 2010 to vote on various documents including the membership and governance protocols; the statement on shared values and principles of the OCLC co-operative; and the recommendations of the cost sharing and pricing strategy taskforce, including setting up a new cost sharing taskforce to advise OCLC on a new model. The revised OCLC/WorldCat principles of cooperation were examined and approved.

A briefing was given on the new Record Use Policy which had previously been approved by the OCLC Board of Trustees on 14 June.

Mr Elliott drew the Committee’s attention to the report on the OCLC Asia Pacific Regional Council Membership Conference held in Tokyo on 6-7 September 2010. The 2011 meeting will be held in Taipei, Taiwan.

Mr Elliott advised that the next Global Council virtual meeting to be held on 25/26 October would look at Global Council activities for the forthcoming year. There will also be an in-person Global Council Executive meeting in Dublin, Ohio from 24 – 26 October. Mr Elliott advised that his term will finish on 30 June 2011 and there will be further discussions about finding a replacement.

Dr Cathro commented on his attendance at the Tokyo meeting, noting that all three rungs of the governance structure of OCLC were in attendance. There was further discussion about issues which apply to the region particularly and Mr Elliott confirmed that raising local issues is appropriate.

The Committee noted the report.
Ms Campbell introduced Ms Helen Ludellen who has replaced Ms Rajapatirana as Manager, Australian National Bibliographic Database. Ms Ludellen attended the afternoon session of the Committee meeting.

**Agenda Item 9**

**Draft ANBD Scope Statement**

LAAC/2010/2/7

Mr Walls presented the scope statement as a response to discussion at the previous two LAAC meetings about the relationship between Libraries Australia and Trove, particularly regarding data contribution pathways. He noted that the proposed statement is not redefining the scope of the ANBD, rather it is a restatement and clarification of what the policy has always been. The scope statement aims to be more specific about different types of records.

Ms Szunejko commented and the Committee agreed that the new ANBD Scope Statement and its purpose were very clearly stated. Mr Walls commented that the document was not intended to address how the ANBD relates to Trove. There was further discussion about the relationship. Mr Walls confirmed that article level metadata for journals is out of scope for the ANBD but in scope for Trove.

In response to a question from Ms Horn seeking clarification on the juxtaposition between the ANBD, Libraries Australia and Trove, Dr Cathro clarified that the purpose of Libraries Australia is to support library workflows, Trove is for discovery of items in Australian collections including archives and museums, university repositories and that all of the ANBD data is also in Trove.

Ms Gatenby commented that the guidelines do not provide guidance on which service to contribute to and when, rather they are guidelines on what Libraries Australia will accept. The shortcomings for analytical data were noted. Ms Campbell noted that the Libraries Australia and Trove teams are available to discuss the most suitable data contribution pathways. Mr Walls added that it will be useful to review this document in a few years’ time when Libraries Australia has been migrated the to Lucene platform underpinning Trove.

**RESOLUTION:** The Committee resolved to accept the Scope Statement.

**Agenda Item 10**

**Trove Report**

LAAC/2010/2/8

Ms Campbell gave an update on Trove. There are now more than 101 million items in the service. The platform will be upgraded soon to manage the increased size. The Australian Newspapers interface will be shut down in November, and planning has commenced for the migration of other services starting with Australia Research Online, followed by Music Australia and then Picture Australia.
Ms Campbell explained how the information in the Australian Libraries Gateway is used in Trove and noted the importance of having this information up to date. The team will market this to member libraries. Ms Campbell explained how ALG data is sent to the WorldCat Registry. Although a manual process at this stage the team is working towards this becoming an automated process.

Ms Campbell highlighted the 23,000 visits to the site in a single day (Tuesday 18 October), the highest daily usage so far. Some additional usability testing was done, following on from a user survey which showed the profile of the users and the different enquiry types. The importance of the social engagement features of Trove is demonstrated by the user interaction activity statistics available from the Trove home page.

Ms Campbell mentioned the demand for training and the development of a series of instructional screencasts which are now available on YouTube. The positive public response to Trove was noted.

The Committee noted the report.

**Agenda Item 11**
**Re-imagining Libraries Project 8 (Description and Cataloguing)**
**LAAC/2010/2/9**

Ms Gatenby gave a report on the outcomes of the Re-Imagining Libraries’ Cataloguing and Description Project. The main purpose of the Project is to create awareness of collection lists which already exist within NSLA libraries that have not been made available online, and to find out what the barriers are to converting these lists from paper-based to machine readable data.

There are four proposed data models for improving national level access to information about collections that is contained in lists. The use of Trove functionality which allows indexing of lists has been enthusiastically received. Documentation of the models will be made publically available.

There was further discussion about progress which has been made by particular libraries including SLSA and SLNSW. Mr Walls commented that the issue is not what format the data is in, but the extent of the data and noted the limitations of Libraries Australia being MARC-based. Some of these limitations may be addressed when the Libraries Australia Search service is redeveloped on the Lucene platform underpinning Trove.

Dr Cathro expressed his interest in raising awareness of this and making this information available to other library sectors. It was noted that the issue would be addressed in a Special Libraries presentation at the Forum. There was further discussion about collections to target and ways of encouraging libraries to contribute.

The Committee noted the report.
Ms Campbell gave a Project update. Work on stage 4 of Trove, to provide authenticated access to e-resources via Trove, has commenced. The team met with RMIT Publishing to arrange collection of subscription information and metadata for journal articles which will be used as test data initially. Dr Cathro spoke about the benefits of the Project for e-resource vendors. Cengage Gale data as well as APAIS and AMI data will also be collected, and some of the more difficult authentication pathways will be tested by April 2011.

With regard to the other two aspects of the Project, Ms Campbell commented on the survey to establish what NSLA libraries are doing to push content into popular social spaces. She also talked about recent work to examine user annotations contributed to Trove and the possibility of extracting and sharing these across library systems.

The Committee noted the report.

Ms Nelson gave an update on Project 7 which has now made substantial progress in identifying the core set of e-resources for subscription by all NSLA libraries. Ms Nelson thanked Ms Nikki Darby and Mr Rob Walls for their assistance. A report will go to the NSLA CEOs recommending the core set, a product list of 20, for which vendor pricing for the first 16 titles has been obtained. There will be a phased introduction.

The NSLA e-resources consortium Business Plan 2010 – 2012 is aligned to the work of Project 7. This includes timeframes for the phasing in of products, working with the vendors and the NSLA Marketing Working Group.

Ms Nelson also outlined the work of the Project to look at the concept of the last copy process and develop a draft agreement for considering retrospective collections, handling serials, identifying who would have the last copy and building complete sets. Originally assessed on a title basis, a subject basis is now being considered. The Project group is also examining existing policies and procedures for disposal.

Further work for the group came out of the NSLA Digital Preservation Forum to look at libraries’ collecting priorities. The group will do a survey to establish what needs to happen with regard to digital preservation. Ms Campbell asked whether the project would explore the potential offered by the Hathi Trust (to reduce local digitisation workloads).

There was further discussion about how to make the national subscriptions available and whether there would need to be any authentication for nationally licensed products. There was also further discussion about how to relate this work on last copy with university libraries’ holdings. The Project will start with NSLA libraries who will then relate to university holdings in their state and work to tidy up their holdings as the Project continues.

The Committee noted the report.
**Agenda Item 13**  
**The Resource Sharing Manifesto**  
**LAAC/2010/2/11**

Mr Walls drew the Committee’s attention to the Resource Sharing Manifesto which was adopted by the Rethinking Resource Sharing Initiative in 2007. The Committee discussed possible wider dissemination of the Manifesto.

The Committee recommended the Manifesto be shared more broadly with relevant Library associations.

**ACTION:** The Committee to refer the Resource Sharing Manifesto to other groups for consideration.

---

**Agenda Item 14**  
**LAAC/2010/2/12**

**An overview of the Australian Research Data Commons Party Infrastructure Project (ARDCPIP)**

Ms Campbell gave a brief summary of the project which is now halfway through its 18 month timeframe. A party persistent identifier scheme, in use in the people zone of Trove, has been used in the project. When the work is completed the results will be seen in the people zone of Trove. A party administration tool will be rolled out to the university sector in Stage 2. Given its technical complexity, an interface designer has been brought into the project to make sure the tool is as usable as possible.

Early implementers include Griffith University and Queensland University of Technology. Funding constraints mean between only five and 10 sites will be supported initially.

The achievement of upgrading the party infrastructure to support the Encoded Archival Context for Corporate bodies, Persons and Families (EAC-CPF) standard was noted, better aligning this with research sector requirements.

A presentation will be given at the upcoming CAIRSS Information Day in November by the Acting Project Manager Natasha Simons. There is further information about the project in the project wiki, [http://wiki.nla.gov.au/display/ardcip/Party+Data+Survey](http://wiki.nla.gov.au/display/ardcip/Party+Data+Survey).

The Committee noted the report.

---

**Agenda Item 15**  
**Libraries Australia Forum and ACOC Seminar 2010 (oral)**

The Forum was discussed under Agenda Item 5. Mr Walls gave a report on the ACOC seminar, scheduled for the day after the Forum, which attracted 213 registrations. An interesting programme of speakers included David Lindahl, Co-Executive Director of the eXtensible Catalog project as the keynote speaker and three short 20 minute presentations on innovative uses of metadata, RDA and other cataloguing standards.

The Committee noted the report.
**Agenda Item 16**

**Article for information:** “Libraries Australia and Trove: A special network for special libraries”

Ms Campbell introduced the article, a briefer version of which was published in August 2010 issue of *Incite*. Ms Ritchie mentioned a recent useful discussion which took place on the ALIA Special Libraries list about the role of DASL (the print-based special libraries directory) and the potential use of the Australian Libraries Gateway as a substitute. The article is available at www.nla.gov.au/librariesaustralia/laac/documents/laac-paper-2010-2-13-la-trove-network.pdf.

**Agenda Item 17**

**Other Business**

1. **Membership of the Libraries Australia Advisory Committee**

Ms Campbell advised that as Ms Hansen is stepping down from the Committee, a new representative will be sought for the non-elected position in time for the next meeting.

2. **WorldCat Resource Sharing (WCRS) agreement**

Mr Walls advised that discussions have taken place between OCLC and Libraries Australia Document Delivery staff with regard to a WCRS agreement. A number of business and technical issues would need to be addressed.

Dr Cathro asked the Committee to consider how Libraries Australia members would feel if the only way to use WCRS was via an International ILL Request Gateway fee. There was further discussion about this as a charging model.

Mr Walls mentioned the survey done about the possibility of working with WCRS earlier in the year with LADD libraries. Of 100 responses about 76 libraries said they would be interested and 15 libraries said they already engaged in some form of international interlibrary loans. WCRS will be implemented on an opt in/opt out basis.

Dr Cathro advised that Libraries Australia would continue discussions with OCLC.

**Conclusion and Review of Resolutions**

RESOLUTIONS

1. The Committee resolved to accept the minutes of the meeting held on 14 April 2010.

2. The Committee resolved to accept the updated ANBD Scope Statement.

Ms Campbell made a presentation to Ms Hansen and thanked her for her contribution to the Committee.

The meeting closed at 3.45pm.
Attachment A: Actions

Agenda Item 7
Resource Sharing and Innovation Division Strategic Plan
LAAC/2010/2/5

ACTION: LAAC members to contact Ms Campbell with suggestions about the topical issues to discuss when making visits to university and state libraries; to generate more interest in Libraries Australia contact; to map state and sectoral connections, and to seek invitations for Libraries Australia staff to attend sectoral meetings.

ACTION: Ms Gatenby’s suggested wording changes with regard to the Reimagining Libraries Project 8 (Description and Cataloguing) to be incorporated into the Strategic Plan when it is reviewed.

Agenda Item 13
The Resource Sharing Manifesto
LAAC/2010/2/11

ACTION: The Committee to refer the Resource Sharing Manifesto to other relevant groups for consideration.