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A summary of action items and resolutions is included in Attachment A.

The meeting commenced at 10:00 a.m.

Agenda Item 1
Welcome, Introductions and Apologies

Ms Luther welcomed all present to the meeting. Apologies were received from Mr Rob Walls, Director of Database Services in the Libraries Australia team.

Mr Vic Elliott, University Librarian at the Australian National University and Australian representative on the OCLC Asia Pacific Regional Council, was welcomed as a new member of the Committee.

It was noted that this was the last meeting for Ms Luther, Mr Harris and Ms Quinn and their contribution to the Committee over a long period of time was acknowledged. Ms Luther’s work as the Chair of the Committee was also recognised.

Agenda Item 2
Draft Minutes of the Previous Meeting and Business Arising (LAAC/2009/2/1)

Ms Nelson clarified that the project referred to on page 14, paragraph 3 relates to e-records cataloguing only, not all cataloguing. A discrepancy in the record of attendance at the last meeting was also noted - Ms Nelson had not been included. Ms Gatenby advised that the training referred to in the minutes was for researchers who may be interested in using features of Libraries Australia such as COINS. The NLA is interested in exploring software to support e-scholarship and will advise Petherick readers and other researchers of the features via newsletters.

Dr Cathro and Ms Campbell reported that most actions arising from the previous Committee meeting had all been completed or were underway. Ms Luther noted that the possibility of an Australian representative on the Research Libraries Group (RLG) Governance Board has not been raised at a Council of Australian University Libraries (CAUL) meeting to date.

Dr Cathro responded to a question about the future of the Open Library Environment (OLE) project and the NLA’s involvement in it.

RESOLVED: That the minutes of the meeting held on 15 April 2009 be accepted.

Agenda Item 3
Oral Report from the National Library: Director-General.

Ms Fullerton reported that the NLA was successful in a budget bid for $800,000 from the federal government to prepare a business case for a new policy proposal to deal with the digital deluge across three Commonwealth organisations – NLA, National Archives and the National Film and Sound Archive. The work on the business case will be coordinated by Dr Cathro. Dr Cathro advised that if the bid was successful there would be a significant increase in digitisation activity at the NLA.

Ms Fullerton gave an update on the newspaper digitisation project at the National
Library. Very positive feedback has been received from academics and historians. Ms Gatenby informed the Committee that the Australian Women’s Weekly digitisation project is underway – scanning and OCRing will be completed by July 2010 and the content should be available by the end of 2010.

The Single Business Discovery Service has been officially named Trove. Discussions were held with the Australian Research Council (ARC) and the National Health & Medical Research Council (NHMRC) to investigate ways the NLA can work with them. Dr Cathro advised that the NHMRC wants to make sure that all research it funds is publicly accessible and easily discoverable via its own branded interface, if possible using Trove in the background. Public accessibility will become a condition for funding. Ms Fullerton added that the NLA wants to provide a safety net repository for research that cannot be archived elsewhere.

Ms Fullerton noted that discussions have been held with Dr Nicholas Gruen from the Government 2.0 Taskforce about ways of making government information accessible and commented on the cultural change that is required in some government agencies to enable this to happen.

Ms Fullerton noted the large increase in demand for and use of NLA services such as Copies Direct, document delivery and reference enquiries as a result of the sharing of records with WorldCat.

Ms Gatenby advised that the newspaper digitisation team has developed contributor guidelines for other libraries who want to contribute content to the newspaper digitisation program. It is anticipated that growth from 2011 will be largely through contributions from other organizations. The preferred model is libraries using the NLA’s infrastructure to ensure standards are met. ANPLAN (the Australian Newspaper Plan) is preparing to build a stronger relationship with public libraries to attract regional newspaper content for digitisation.

Ms Gatenby gave an update on Re-imagining Libraries’ Project 8 - improving access via Trove to Australian documentary heritage material, especially unique materials, through streamlined ways of processing and describing this material. The objectives of Project 8 closely match those of Libraries Australia in terms of gap filling, the need for which was demonstrated in the results of the Australian National Bibliographic Database (ANBD) coverage survey. There was some discussion about the differing views of metadata which organisations have in terms of what discovery services such as Trove require. Dr Cathro explained the multiple contribution pathways that Trove will have, the different types of contributors and the process of getting items into Trove using the example of how collection summaries will be harvested and then used for discovery. Ms Campbell stated that the NLA is also supporting machine-to-machine interfaces such as the OAI Protocol for Metadata Harvesting and SRU, which are under development for Trove.

Dr Cathro reported on Re-imagining Libraries’ Project 10 which invites state and territory libraries’ contributions to Trove. Feedback was sought from all National & State Libraries Australasia (NSLA) libraries about Trove during the prototype phase and further discussions are needed to ascertain how they will promote Trove to their readers and how they can leverage off it in any of their own local discovery services.

Ms Nelson gave a report on Reimagining Libraries’ Project 7 which is looking at efficiencies for purchasing e-resources, storage of e-resources and reducing duplication of serials holdings. Ms Luther suggested it would be good to extend the conversation to university libraries about incomplete serials collections.
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Two conclusions have been reached by this project team with regard to the NSLA consortium - its terms of reference should be reviewed to look at it becoming a more strategic group closely aligned to the “one library” agenda, and it needs to have a statement of principles about what a national collection is looking for in terms of the quality of those resources.

Ms Szunejko reported on Reimagining Libraries’ Project 4, Delivery. She commented on the challenges of working with different library systems. Ms Fullerton added that the project is trying to make sure users can discover resources; that libraries have good base collections and ways of very efficiently putting material in people’s hands directly.

Ms Fullerton mentioned the other large project the NLA is involved in is Reimagining Libraries’ Project 3, Virtual Reference, which is examining how libraries can work together to provide this service. Ms Gatenby observed that a beneficial outcome of the projects would be that people can discover more easily what member libraries have in their collections and how they describe their holdings. However, a consequence of this that we need to take into account is that, based on experience to date of the NLA, increased exposure not only results in collections being used (a good thing) but in more interaction with the public who can want to clarify aspects of the materials being described and to query details in descriptions. This interaction has resource implications.

Ms Horn asked about communication strategies for informing libraries about all of the Reimagining Libraries’ Projects, for example libraries in the CAUL sector. She noted the benefits of bringing the sectors together with opportunities for dialogue. There was further discussion about how best to achieve this. It was noted that the Charles Sturt University seminar on 5th November would provide an avenue for this dialogue to occur.

There was further discussion about electronic resources, access and the cost of journals, and how the current system operates. Ms Fullerton noted that it would be best to move to a national core set of electronic resources which would be centrally funded, and the NSLA and CAUL sectors could also fund sets specific to their own constituencies.

Ms Gatenby reported that the release of Resource Description and Access (RDA) has been delayed until May 2010. The Library of Congress is planning an eight month trial in 2010. RDA may be implemented in early 2011. The NLA is considering how it can support a national RDA training program.

**ACTION:** Ms Gatenby will confirm with Committee members that the Newspaper Digitisation Project’s contributor guidelines are on the NLA’s website.

**Agenda Item 4**
**Libraries Australia Annual Report 2009**
**(LAAC/2009/2/2)**

Dr Cathro noted that the report was prepared by Ms Campbell with assistance from Mr Walls. Dr Cathro highlighted some of the key statistics. As of 31 October, there were 20 million bibliographic records in the ANBD. The Resource Sharing and Innovation Division Strategic Plan was released in July 2009 and will be revised twice a year.
Dr Cathro mentioned that the Canada Institute for Scientific and Technical Information (CISTI) is now outsourcing its document delivery services to Infotrieve. He also advised that inter-operability testing of the ISO InterLibrary Loan (ILL) protocol is a hidden but valuable activity of the Libraries Australia team. A lot of testing is done to enable reliable interoperability. Document delivery requests grew by 3% in 2008-09 and continue to grow. Mr Strempel commented on the inclusion of some SWIFT consortium members in the list of libraries using the ISO ILL protocol and questioned why all Swift libraries are not interoperating with LADD.

The release of the new version of CBS for Libraries Australia Cataloguing in the first quarter of 2010 will provide the required software to receive data from local systems which have implemented SRU record update.

Ms Campbell advised that the third e-newsletter has been sent out and invited interested libraries to contribute items of content. The university visits program is now complete. Ms Luther commented on the importance of the visits and how much appreciated they were. Ms Campbell noted the increased use of ning by a core group of people to discuss issues with Libraries Australia workflows. Ms Campbell also advised the Committee of new marketing materials - eco bags and pens.

Libraries Australia has followed up with a range of libraries since the completion of the ANBD coverage survey. Some of the collections will be loaded to the ANBD early next year. It was noted that the Department of Environment (WA) maps file is an excellent contribution. Ms Campbell commented that the statistics on pp. 20 and 21 detailing holdings by institution have been included so that the results of the action plan arising from the coverage survey can be evaluated.

Ms Rajapatirana commented that the new bibliographic records and holdings as detailed in the coverage section of the report should be a separate paragraph as it is not part of the ANBD coverage survey information. She also advised that the duplicate detection program is currently running every night using ISBN as the match key.

Mr Strempel commented on the usage statistics and wondered if we were aware of reasons behind the changes in results. Ms Campbell advised that anecdotal evidence is sometimes available. There was further discussion about this, and how useful it would be to know how much is end-user searching. It was explained that Trove will allow the NLA to more cleanly separate search usage statistics for the free Libraries Australia service.

Ms Horn asked about the role of the Libraries Australia Advisory Committee with regard to Trove and it was agreed to revisit the issue later in the meeting. She commended the inclusion of Register of Archives and Manuscripts (RAAM) into the ANBD/Trove. Ms Rajapatirana gave further details about the loading of RAAM.

**ACTION:** The Libraries Australia team to make corrections to the Annual Report.

**ACTION:** The Libraries Australia team to provide further information on significant movements in the annual statistics.

**ACTION:** Mr Strempel will raise the use of the ISO ILL protocol at the next SWIFT meeting.

The Committee noted the report.
**Agenda Item 5**  
**Libraries Australia Statistical Information**  
**LAAC/2009/2/3**

Dr Cathro advised that the results were in line with expectations. He commented that the data added had exceeded the targets set, and expenses were a little lower than target due to staffing vacancies in the second half of 2009.

The Committee noted the report.

**Agenda Item 6**  
**Libraries Australia Activity Report: July to October 2009**

Dr Cathro advised that the next Libraries Australia Forum will be held on 20/10/2010, possibly in conjunction with a Trove contributors meeting.

Dr Cathro noted that Libraries Australia is now contributing authority data to the Virtual International Authority File (VIAF). Ms Rajapatirana gave an explanation of the VIAF Project and advised that the first quarterly upload had started. Dr Cathro commented on the growing importance of this data for cataloguers. Ms Rajapatirana confirmed that the Library of Congress name authorities are in the VIAF files.

There was further discussion about the closing of death dates and it was confirmed that Libraries Australia consults regularly with other libraries about this. Ms Szuneyko questioned whether the arrangement with VIAF has any effect on Libraries Australia authorities and it was agreed that this should be considered.

Dr Cathro noted the forthcoming addition of 180,000 TOC records from the Library of Congress to the ANBD is a good example of the value provided by Libraries Australia for member libraries.

Ms Rajapatirana advised that the base load of OCLC numbers had been done and the project should be completed by late November 2009. Ms Rajapatirana explained the benefits of OCLC numbers - they will facilitate deep linking from WorldCat to local catalogues where no other identifier is present, and will provide an additional match key on data ingest.

Dr Cathro reported that preliminary discussions have been held with OCLC concerning the relationship between LADD and WorldCat Resource Sharing. Some issues have been identified and further information needs to be gathered. Ms Luther and Mr Taylor expressed concern about the increased demand on local collections this might cause. Dr Cathro asked if there were any other concerns and Ms Luther noted the importance of communication about this development. Dr Cathro sought confirmation that the Committee wanted Libraries Australia to explore the relationship between LADD and WorldCat Resource Sharing and it was given.

**ACTION:** An expert reference group to be set up to give feedback about any new arrangement between Libraries Australia and WorldCat Resource Sharing.

Dr Cathro noted the introduction of the copyright status button. He briefed the Committee on TeraText stability and performance issues, and the strategies that have been employed to counteract this problem.

Ms Campbell outlined the new Help Desk Reftracker system and advised that the
Ms Campbell talked about the current Libraries Australia training arrangements, noting that CAVAL has ceased offering public training courses and now provides training courses only to CAVAL members. Discussions are underway with CAVAL about this and a trainers meeting will be held in April 2010 to talk about issues relating to training. The meeting will also provide trainers with an update on all Libraries Australia services. Demand for training across Australia has decreased significantly mainly due to cost. The possibility of developing an online LADD training course is being explored.

The Committee noted the report.

**Agenda Item 7**

**Report on the first meeting of OCLC Asia Pacific Regional Conference**

LAAC/2009/2/4

Ms Luther welcomed Mr Elliott as a full member of the Committee.

Mr Elliott attended the final meeting of the OCLC Member’s Council in Dublin, Ohio as well as the inaugural Asia Pacific Regional Council meeting in Beijing.

Key topics discussed at the OCLC Member's Council meeting included the changes to the articles of incorporation and code of regulations allowing the transition from Members Council to Global Council; the new definition of OCLC membership which has subsequently been approved and the approved framework documents for the three regional councils – the Americas; Europe, the Middle East and Asia (EMEA); and Asia Pacific.

Since that meeting, the final report of the Members’ Council was released on 22 June 2009. It recommended that the proposed policy for use and transfer of WorldCat records be withdrawn and a new policy developed; that the social contract between OCLC and its members should be re-examined and articulated; and that the new policy to replace the proposed policy should be developed in a consultative and transparent process. There was some discussion about this and Mr Elliott confirmed the process for formulating the new policy and the timelines.

Mr Elliott noted that the highest percentage of inactive WorldCat members is in the Asia Pacific region. Ms Fullerton queried the definition of an inactive member and there was discussion about how this should be interpreted for Libraries Australia members. Dr Cathro noted that members of Libraries Australia are in a sense not members of OCLC in the way that most OCLC members are, but have attained that status through the national Libraries Australia agreement with WorldCat. It allows all members of Libraries Australia to access WorldCat in return for the provision of Libraries Australia holdings to WorldCat. Mr Elliott reminded the Committee that because of this arrangement, members of Libraries Australia are governing members of OCLC.

Mr Elliott advised that he would investigate whether inactive membership related particularly to Australia/New Zealand libraries. He explained that the main reason for wanting to find out about inactive members was for electoral purposes.
Ms Horn sought confirmation that within OCLC’s social contract the values underpinning the relationship between OCLC and its members were seen as important. Mr Elliott confirmed that it was on the agenda when the OCLC Board of Trustees and Chairs of regional councils met in September 2009.

Mr Elliott continued with the report on the Asia Pacific Regional Council meeting, highlighting some of the key issues including differential pricing and the perception that OCLC may be seen by some as having the same status as other vendors, which is a change from the cooperative model it originally promoted. A taskforce has been set up to look at cost sharing and differential pricing, and will report by April 2010 to the Global Council. It expects to identify best practice for globalising OCLC services.

Mr Elliott advised that he will chair the Asia Pacific Regional Council meetings from June 2010 until June 2011, and that one meeting could be held in Australia during 2010. There was some discussion about possible dates for the Regional Council meeting and attendees including presidents of public library associations.

**ACTION:** Mr Elliott to confirm the definition of the OCLC ‘inactive’ membership status.

**ACTION:** Mr Elliott to invite an OCLC person to visit Australia and to confirm appropriate attendance and formulation of the agenda.

The Committee noted the report.

**Agenda Item 8
Libraries Australia Subscription Model Update LAAC 2009/2/5**

Dr Cathro advised that the TAFE and international agencies subscription models are still to be developed. University libraries will have their charges reviewed for July 2010 taking into consideration university budgets published by CAUL each year. Dr Cathro reminded the Committee that all new subscription arrangements have been transitioned over a number of years where appropriate, to reduce the impact on member libraries.

Dr Cathro suggested that other parameters could be used for charges as the current model still reflects historical 2003/04 distribution of subscriptions between sectors. Mr Taylor commented that to encourage maximum use of the service, the Libraries Australia team should not rely solely on data. Business models which are appropriate for each sector, taking into account hardship models for individual libraries if necessary, are important.

Ms Horn noted that the value proposition for each sector might be different, for example in terms of end-user value, and it was suggested that this may need to be re-examined in the context of Trove.

Ms Luther noted that it would be helpful to confirm the proportion of Libraries Australia revenue contributed by university subscriptions in line with usage as a way of helping them to understand why they pay what they pay. Dr Cathro drew the attention of the Committee to the Libraries Australia mission statement where the benefits of membership to Libraries Australia are clearly set out.

**ACTION:** The Libraries Australia team to re-examine the wording of the mission
statement to ensure all benefits are clearly articulated.

**ACTION:** Libraries Australia to review the CAUL sector’s subscription model when new CAUL budget data is available.

### Agenda Item 9
**Report on the Libraries Australia Roadshows**

LAAC/2009/2/6

Ms Campbell summarised the impact of the Roadshows, which were well received. Costs were kept as low as possible by using free-of-charge venues, and various LAAC members were thanked for their role in supporting these arrangements. Feedback showed that Roadshow participants were not aware of some of the newer features of Libraries Australia.

Further discussion took place about the value of running regular Roadshows, the large cost of the Roadshows, the importance of meeting Libraries Australia staff face to face, the shortage of training currently being undertaken and the value of Libraries Australia staff attending user group meetings regularly.

Ms Szunjejkocommended Libraries Australia staff who did the Roadshows. Ms Quinn acknowledged the good fortune of libraries in the ACT and the contribution of Libraries Australia staff attending ACT user group meetings.

Ms Horn questioned whether the audiences which need to hear about Trove are the same as the Roadshow audiences. The Committee decided to address this later in the meeting when Trove was to be discussed.

**ACTION:** Change the Roadshow report to read Deakin University, Geelong not Latrobe University on page 12.

The Committee noted the report.

### Agenda Item 10
**Libraries Australia Forum 2009 (oral)**

Ms Campbell advised that there were 140 registrations for the Libraries Australia Forum 2009 on Friday 6 November. Confirmed guest speakers include Mr Jim Michalko - OCLC, Ms Janifer Gatenby – OCLC and Ms Margaret Allen from the State Library of WA. Ms Campbell will blog the whole day for the National Library’s Library Labs blog at blogs.nla.gov.au/labs/2009/11/ and the presentations will be made available at www.nla.gov.au/librariesaustralia/aum/laf09/agenda.html.

The Committee noted the report.

**ACTION:** The Libraries Australia team to ensure the Forum presentations are publicly available.
Agenda Item 11
National Access to E-resources
LAAC/2009/2/9

Dr Cathro talked about Reimagining Libraries’ Project 2, Open Borders, which is seeking to address the low use of e-resources in the national, state, territory and public library sectors by improving article level discovery. The Open Borders project looked at making access easier and having a national collaborative framework. A survey showed almost all NSLA libraries have vendor-supplied solutions for managing their e-resources. However public libraries don’t all have this in place. Various options were considered and Dr Cathro outlined the current preferred scenario based on a set of partnerships between the National Library and selected e-resource vendors.

Mr Taylor commented that vendors are good at supplying their own data but are reluctant to supply their customers’ data because of privacy concerns. Ms Horn queried the legality of the proposed data sharing arrangement with vendors. Dr Cathro noted that any customer data would not be publicly available as it would only be used for relevance ranking.

Ms Quinn suggested that users should be able to choose not only the three libraries they are associated with but that relevance ranking should include the “best” article which satisfies the query, even if not subscribed to, with a connection to a feasible subscription service. Dr Cathro suggested this could be incorporated into a later stage of development.

Dr Cathro is currently meeting with vendors to discuss this proposal. Cengage Gale and RMIT Publishing have agreed to further meetings, and when these talks are completed, further vendors will be approached.

Dr Cathro explained that one of the main questions to be addressed is whether users who are not on site can be authenticated. He noted that it is in a user’s interest to register when using Trove because it will return them more relevant results.

Trove discussion

Dr Cathro gave an overview of Trove - the name, the new interface now live, and highlighted some of the capabilities it currently has. Users can login, register and add tags and comments. Planned features not available yet will be prioritised in a forthcoming planning workshop. The marketing strategy is under discussion. During 2010 some services such as Picture Australia will be decommissioned, but this won’t be done until all significant features are replicated in Trove.

Dr Cathro commented on discussions which have taken place about communities of contributors; it is proposed to set up two online fora – one for users and one for contributors.

Dr Cathro explained that because Trove uses a modified version of FRBR, there is scope for allowing the public to change the clustering of different versions of the same item. The general public will be allowed to change the Australian content indicator in stage 2 of Trove.

Ms Luther queried the marketing of the move from the free Libraries Australia search service to Trove. Ms Campbell explained that an advisory which outlines what will happen to the free service has been prepared. The search box for Libraries Australia
which many libraries have embedded on their website will appear automatically with the Trove brand. Ms Luther suggested putting an advisory on the Libraries Australia free search service home page immediately, to let people know about forthcoming changes. Ms Campbell noted that the home page of the subscription service will include this information too.

Ms Fullerton confirmed that the Libraries Australia Advisory Committee could act as an advisory committee for Trove. This prompted a discussion about the role of the Libraries Australia User Groups if the LAAC were to take on this broader remit. Mr Harris commented on the difficulty of engaging people in user group activities. Ms Szunejko suggested possible benefits for distinguishing between users and contributors, and concluded that this could be an opportunity to rethink the existing User Groups.

Ms Gatenby commented that it is important to be thinking about workflows which encourage libraries to contribute to both the ANBD and Trove. Dr Cathro suggested library contributions to Trove can be received via Libraries Australia.

Mr Taylor sought clarification about whether libraries whose research theses will reach Trove through harvesting by the Australian Research Online (ARO) service should also contribute the metadata to Libraries Australia.

**ACTION:** The Libraries Australia team to clarify its advice on contribution pathways.

**ACTION:** The LAAC to comment on Trove directions at its future meetings.

**ACTION:** The Libraries Australia team to put a note about the implications of Trove on the Libraries Australia free search service home page immediately.

The Committee noted the report.

**Agenda Item 12**  
Libraries Australia Advisory Committee membership guidelines  
LAAC/2009/2/8

Dr Cathro detailed the membership guidelines.

Ms Fullerton commented on the importance of flexibility in specific composition of the LAAC to ensure appropriate representation from all library sectors.

Mr Taylor expressed some concerns about the User Group meetings when there is no LAAC member from a particular state. He emphasised the importance of having many lines of communication.

The Committee noted the guidelines.

**Agenda Item 13**  
Innovative Ideas Forum (oral)

Dr Cathro advised that the Innovative Ideas Forum will be held on 16 April 2010. Ms Luther commented on the limited publicity it has received in the past but Ms Fullerton explained that it usually books out immediately.
ACTION: The Libraries Australia team to confirm the date of the Forum doesn’t clash a CAUL meeting, and provide early notification of the Forum to specific groups of people.


Agenda Item 14
Article: Online Catalogs: What Users and Librarians Want OCLC, April 2009
LAAC/2009/2/10

Dr Cathro outlined some of the Trove design decisions which are comparable to some of the findings mentioned in this article.

Mr Elliott expressed reservations about perceptions of quality, particularly in the academic environment, which led to discussion about the purpose of including some information such as Amazon book reviews in Trove. Mr Strempel suggested that they should be included for people to make their own choices. Ms Quinn observed that Amazon does include scholarly reviews which will be of interest to Australian researchers.

Ms Luther commended the inclusion of this paper in the LAAC papers.

Agenda Item 15
Any other business

The next LAAC meeting will be held in Canberra on 15 April 2010. The dates for the next CAUL meeting were confirmed as 25-26 March 2010.

Ms Fullerton sought nominations for the election of a new Chair for the Libraries Australia Advisory Committee. Ms Horn was duly elected as the new Chair.

Ms Campbell advised that the next OCLC Global Council meeting is to be held on 19–22 April 2010 in Dublin, Ohio.

Ms Fullerton sought suggestions for effecting more collaboration between NSLA and CAUL. Mr Taylor advised that he was aware that Serials Solutions have been talking to OCLC about including WorldCat records in its new Summon product, and it occurred to him that this could be an avenue for a presence for Libraries Australia, which led to further discussion about the serials market.

Dr Cathro expressed thanks on behalf of the LAAC to retiring members Ms Luther, Mr Harris and Ms Quinn and presented each of them with a small gift.

Agenda Item 16
Conclusion and Review of Resolutions (if any)

1. The Minutes of the meeting of 15 April 2009 were accepted.

The meeting closed at 4pm.